**2. Specific Aims (one page maximum; separate PDF attachment)**

NextGen sequencing technologies are fast approaching the ‘$1,000 genome’ target (1): a $5,000 genome will be available in May 2009 by Comparative Genomics while other NextGen industry players are rapidly increasing run yield and reducing cost per Mbase. A new paradigm is emerging of the correlated and rapid analysis of individual genomic variation, methylation, histone-binding, expression analysis and other genome-wide factors that may begin to unlock the secrets of the cell (2) and create new avenues for clinical diagnostics. Bioinformatics infrastructure – hardware, software and personnel – is the bottleneck in the development of this new paradigm (2, 3). Distributed processing on high performance computing clusters is necessary in order to cope with the large data volumes and reduce the cost of processing. Furthermore, costly investments in skilled personnel are also required to develop, evaluate and run bioinformatics algorithms, and to integrate diverse biological data sources. Most biomedical research and diagnostics labs are unable to provide even the minimum of these hardware and personnel requirements. With regard to software, workflow tools are essential to allow non-technical staff to automate and run well-defined but complex analysis processes. These tools must also be flexible enough to support exploratory analysis through interaction with the data using a wide range of different software applications and data processing steps. Ideally, workflow tools should also be web-enabled for flexibility of access by users and integration with other bioinformatics web resources. They should also provide visualization functionality capable of handling large volumes of NextGen data and integrating heterogeneous external genome feature data sets. Given the budget considerations mentioned above, the ideal workflow tool should also be open source and freely available to the academic community. At present, there are no tools available that span the whole process from NextGen sequence generation to analysis and visualization.

To help address these opportunities, we propose the rapid deployment of a software system and analysis tools for managing NextGen sequencing projects, from short read generation to bioinformatics analysis to data visualization. The system will meet the following challenges: 1) facilitating the analysis of large-scale sequencing studies, 2) enabling transcriptome and genetic network analyses, and 3) determination of the relationship of sequence variation and phenotypes to disease. These challenges will be addressed through the following specific aims:

**Specific Aim 1: Implement an optimized assembly workflow**

We propose carrying out an objective evaluation of current NextGen assemblers/aligners. Based on this assessment, we will provide an optimized workflow for each of the three main NextGen sequence platforms (Illumina/Solexa, Roche/454 and ABI/SOLiD) to generate assemblies and their associated quality control information. These workflows will be customizable by the user to suit their particular desired quality metrics or tradeoffs.

**Specific Aim 2: Implement variation annotation, expression analysis and network analysis workflows**

We propose developing a variation annotation pipeline with defined quality control/assurance algorithms for verifying and annotating SNPs (single nucleotide polymorphisms), large-scale structural variation and copy number variation. The pipeline will be integrated with current expression analysis packages and will develop new expression analysis algorithms. As part of the reporting and visualization of results, data filters will be designed based on user requirements to extract result subsets and provide genome-level views of the results integrated with external genomic features. Results will also be exportable to downstream analysis applications (Cytoscape, Genespring, R, etc.).

**Specific Aim 3: Develop a NextGen workflow and visualization tool**Based on the requirements in Aims 1 and 2, we propose the implementation of a novel kind of tool providing end-to-end integrated NextGen data analysis workflows and real-time genomic visualization of huge data sets. The tool will provide pre-optimized workflows for assembly/alignment, sequence and structural variation, expression analysis and network analysis and will also allow users to create their own customized workflows. The software development process will implement a user-centric approach including extensive pre- and post-release user testing at each project milestone to ensure improved usability compared to currently available tools.

**5. Research Design and Methods (12 pages maximum; separate PDF attachment)**

**Challenge Area:** *06: Enabling Technologies***Challenge Topic:** *06-HG-101\*   
New computational and statistical methods for the analysis of large data sets from next-generation sequencing technologies.*

**The Challenge and Potential Impact:** *What is the research opportunity, scientific knowledge gap or technology that will be addressed? How broad is the potential impact in science and/or health? Which community (ies) will be affected? What is (are) the size(s) of the community(ies)? Will the potential impact be major?*

This section describes the particular challenges of NextGen technologies, applications and bioinformatics in more detail and discuss the anticipated impact of solutions provided by this study.

**Specific Aim 1: Implement an optimized assembly workflow**

The impact of achieving Aim 1 will be to accelerate the development of NextGen sequencing assembly tools by providing an improved methodology for sequence assembly/alignment and the tools to do so on a routine basis. **Objective performance comparisons of assemblers/aligners involving preset criteria and using a wide range of data sets will provide a basis for comparisons between assemblers.** **Optimized pipelines based on these evaluations will allow users to improve their work efficiency and the quality of their results.** At the other end of the spectrum, customizable pipelines will help meet the bioinformatics challenges faced by researchers at the cutting edge life science exploration. Dynamic pipeline configuration coupled with high performance computing will enable researchers and other end users to rapidly develop and adapt different approaches to solving particular problems.

**NextGen Technologies**

In order to appreciate the particular problems and challenges of NextGen sequence assembly, we must first grasp the particular strengths and weaknesses of the different sequencing technologies. The current mainstream NextGen platforms produce millions of short (50bp – 400bp) sequence reads. Each of the three main platforms, namely, Illumina/Solexa (4), Roche/454 (5) and ABI/SOLiD (6) have their own inherent problems, including significant sequencing error rates and systematic errors. Large sequencing organizations such as genome centers, academic core facilities and commercial contract-sequencing enterprises across the globe have already adopted this NextGen technology (Figure 1) and smaller labs and molecular diagnostics facilities participating in growing numbers. A common refrain among adaptors of this technology is that the downstream bioinformatics analysis are often poorly understood and underestimated. **This study aims to reduce bioinformatics costs for research and diagnostics labs by providing a free, customizable tool for accomplishing all common tasks in NextGen sequence analysis.** Extensive training for users will not be required as only a basic familiarity with web page navigation and drag and drop user interfaces is assumed.

Alongside the rollout of NextGen sequencing platforms, third generation sequencing technologies are being developed to sequence single DNA molecules faster and cheaper with streamlined samplepreparation. Real-time sequencing by synthesis is being developedby VisiGen (<http://www.visigenbio.com>) and Pacific Biosciences(<http://www.pacificbiosciences.com>). Pacific Biosciences is due to launch commercially in 2010 and has a mean DNA synthesisrate of approximately 4 bases per second, with a maximum read length of 4,000 bp.Also in development is sequencing based on sensing the bases of DNA molecules passed through nanopores (~5 nmin diameter). Different methods are being tested to create nanopores, including inorganicmembranes (solid-state nanopores), genetically engineered protein channelsby Oxford Nanopore Technologies(<http://www.nanoporetech.com>), polymer-based nanofluidicchannels, and a combinationof nanopores with sequencing by hybridization by NABsys (<http://www.nabsys.com>). **As these technologies develop, they will present new bioinformatics challenges and greater data infrastructure demands. Our proposed workflow and visualization tool is designed to incorporate additional applications in a “plug ‘n play” and scalable manner in order to flexibly adapt to changing computational needs.**



Figure 2. NextGen Sequencing Technology Roadmap

**NextGen Bioinformatics**

The anticipated growth of NextGen sequencing among clinical diagnostics labs must be accompanied by streamlined sample preparation methods and improved robustness through characterization of accuracy in validation studies [6]. Biomedical research labs also require methods for mitigating systemic bias in next generation data analysis. Particularly in the early phases of the development of NextGen technology while many competing algorithms vie for supremacy, scientific publications will require comparisons of results using several different sequence analysis algorithms. At present there are insufficient studies comparing the efficacy and applicability of the various tools. An objective, performance-based comparison of NextGen bioinformatics tools is an important step towards lowering the bioinformatics hurdle and allowing researchers to answer more penetrating questions more convincingly and in less time. Bioinformatics tools are available for reference alignment, de novo assembly, variant-discovery and alignment viewing. Among the reference aligners are Eland (GAPipeline v0.30, Illumina), Mira (11), Genomics Workbench (CLC Bio), Seqman NGen (DNAStar), NextGene (Soft Genetics), MAQ (12) (13) and Shrimp http://compbio.cs.toronto.edu/shrimp). De novo assemblers include Edina (14), EULER-SR (15), SHARCGS (16), SSAKE (17),Velvet (18), and SOAPdenovo (http://soap.genomics.org.cn). Some NextGen statistical data-analysis tools are also available, such as JMP Genomics (<http://www.jmp.com/software/genomics>).

Despite the growing number of software packages available for NextGen data, obtaining an accurately assembled sequence contig is still a very challenging problem. The currently available assembly/alignment programs vary widely in terms of data volume capacity (e.g., bacterial versus human data sets), number of reads aligned/assembled, error rates and bias, all of which may lead to suboptimal assemblies. Little is known about the comparative performance of the available tools because the scarce available performance statistics are based mainly on different non-human data sets results (e.g., phage, bacteria, yeast). So comparison between assemblers is difficult even before considering the particular performance with human data.

**A performance-based comparison of the different assembly/alignment tools is an important precondition for mitigating systemic bias in next generation data analysis.** One anticipated outcome of this will be hastening the transition to a mature technology, with fewer bioinformatics applications used for a wide range of applications. In some cases, extensive comparisons may be needed to determine that certain bioinformatics approaches are suitable for a particular task. **This study proposes to facilitate multi-tool comparisons by providing a workflow system to enable high-throughput assembly with multiple algorithms in parallel.**

**Specific Aim 2: Implement variation annotation, expression analysis and network analysis workflows**

Expression profiling (a.k.a. RNA-seq or transcriptome analysis) is another popular NextGen application that has been demonstrated as robust and sensitive in comparison to five microarray platforms (19). NextGen sequencing identified antisense transcription, which microarrays cannot detect, in 51% of all genes. In yeast, NextGen expression analysis has demonstrated a larger, more complex transcriptome than had been expected (20). An estimated 74.5% of the non-repetitive yeast genome was shown to be transcribed, as were many overlapping genes, alternative initiation codons and upstream open reading frames of yeast genes were demonstrated using short reads to generate a high-resolution map of the genome. **Transcriptomes for mouse brain, liver and skeletal muscle were mapped by NextGen deep sequencing (21), providing a digital measure of the presence and prevalence of transcripts from known and previously unknown genes.** **RNA standards were used to quantify transcript prevalence and to test the linear range of transcript detection, which spanned five orders of magnitude.**

Alongside the profound impact of NextGen applications in basic research, high throughput sequencing is now being adopted by clinical diagnostics laboratories for applications requiring deep sequence coverage and high-sensitivity such as rare HIV drug resistant variant detection (22). As the focus in human genetics has shifted to complex, multi-gene diseases, there is an increasing need for comprehensive diagnostic evaluations of SNPs (single nucleotide polymorphisms) and other genomic variation in multiple genes. The first high-resolution map of human genome structural variation revealed complex and large-scale structural variation in the form of insertions, deletions and inversions from a few thousand to millions of base pairs in length (23). Somatically acquired genomic rearrangements have been implicated in cancer development. Paired-end read pairs that did not align correctly with respect to each other on the reference human genome were used to characterize 306 germline structural variants and 103 somatic rearrangements to the base-pair level of resolution (24). The results demonstrate the feasibility of using NextGen sequencing for the systematic, genome-wide characterization of rearrangements in human cancer genomes.

At the level of large-scale genomic variation, copy number variations (CNV) remain difficult to measure although CNVs of 100 kilobases and greater contribute substantially to genomic variation between normal humans (25, 26). Microarray-based approaches for detecting CNVs depend on microarray signal intensity differences to predict regions of variation and cannot detect inversions. Before the advent of NextGen CNV technique, only a small fraction of copy-number variant (CNV) base pairs had been determined at the sequence level (27). NextGen CNV mapping allows the discovery of cancer-causing genes in genomic regions that show recurrent copy-number alterations (gains and losses) in tumor genomes (28).

Other applications include discovering non-coding RNAs (e.g., miRNAs), sequencing the nuclear genomes of extinct species and metagenomics, such as the characterization of changes in biodiversity due to climate changes. For metagenomics, the growing number of sequenced genomes enables us to interpret partial sequences obtained by direct sampling of specific environmental niches to determine which kinds of species are present. The rapid, inexpensive, and massive data production enabled by NextGen platforms has caused a recent explosion in metagenomic studies. The NIH Human Microbiome Project is one of several international efforts using metagenomic analysis to study human health and has developing the new technological and bioinformatics tools as one of its four stated goals (http://www.genome.gov/25521743). New bioinformatics tools for assembling metagenomics data (http://nihroadmap.nih.gov/hmp/fundedresearch.asp) are required to assembling and finding genes and genomic variation in heterogeneous metagenomic datasets, where currently available software performs poorly.

**Specific Aim 3: Development of a NextGen workflow and visualization tool**

As bioscience becomes increasingly a quantitative analysis activity, workflow tools enable users to accomplish two main tasks: 1) automating well-defined, repetitive processes and 2) exploring data with ad-hoc analyses (29). The few studies on common bioinformatics tasks (Stephens, 2001) and usability of bioinformatics tools (Colchini, 2007) identified an unmet need among bioscience researchers for workflow-based tools. There are over 200 major Internet biological data sources however these sites are mostly simple GUIs (Graphical User Interfaces) with limited data compatibility between them despite the fact that researchers often need to combine the outputs of multiple sites to generate bioinformatics analyses. **These resources may be underexploited if users feel too much time is used navigating the sites, selecting among appropriate sources, downloading and uploading files. Workflow tools can solve this problem by generating large and complex systems from collections of programs, data sources and even structured data services. However, the majority of bioinformatics workflow tools only partially realize the potential of the available data and application resources (Figure 2).** With notable exceptions (30), there has been limited progress in connecting different sites with the client as the intermediary. Another approach is to use a central site as a service directory lookup such as BioMOBY (31) and TAVERNA (32) with the limitation that service discovery relies upon the accurate and uniform description of biological data types and relations between them, for which there is no commonly-accepted ontology (33-35) or language, despite some developments (36).

Data visualization and interpretation become paramount as the bioinformatics challenge shifts from mastering the basic tools to gaining biological insights from huge amounts of data. Three commercial software packages by DNAStar, SoftGeneticsand CLC Bio provide data viewers that allow the user to see read alignments, coveragedepth, genome annotations, and variant analysis. However, they lack the capability for viewing data sets as large as a whole human chromosome and show poor performance even on sub-chromosome data sets. The three major publicly available genome viewers – UCSC Genome Browser (37, 38), Ensembl genome browser (39) and GBrowse (40, 41) – are based on the traditional client-server model where the user’s requested data is reloaded as an image file delivered from the server. Java-based applications such as Apollo (42, 43) are more interactive but lack a concerted approach to data sharing. Most do not allow the user to filter the displayed data set based on biological criteria, although some newer applications such as IGV (http://www.broad.mit.edu/igv/) allow for limited filtering of the displayed features. **Figure 2 lists the capabilities that a fully functional workflow tool and genome viewer must possess and shows the gaps in functionality of the currently available tools. We propose to provide all of this functionality in an integrated workflow tool and genome viewer.**



Figure 2. An overview of workflow and viewer functionalities of currently available workflow tools and genome viewers.

**The Approach:** *How will you attempt to explore or solve the stated research problem? How will your rationale and/or approach overcome existing challenges or barriers in the field? If you propose to improve existing technologies or to develop new technologies, which needs are being addressed and what is unconventional and exceptionally innovative about your approach? Provide enough information for reviewers to determine what you are proposing to do, but do not include a detailed experimental plan.*

We propose to address the abovementioned bioinformatics challenges, namely the development of 1) improved NextGen sequence assembly workflows, 2) optimized variation, transcriptome and genetic interaction pathways, 3) a NextGen workflow and visualization tool with the following approaches:

**Specific Aim 1: Implement an optimized assembly workflow**

We propose carrying out an objective evaluation of current NextGen assemblers/aligners using artificial data sets based on human biological samples in which each read’s position is known *a priori* in order to accurately compare results between different algorithms. Performance criteria will be established before testing based on the particular difficulties of assembling short reads derived from human genomic material. One or more optimized assembly workflows maximizing the performance criteria will be created as push-button tools to generate assemblies and associated quality control information. These workflows will also be customizable by the biologist/researcher to suit particular desired quality metrics or to meet any necessary tradeoffs between different quality metrics.

As the first stage of this specific aim, we investigated the performance of the following short read assembly tools: Eland (GAPipeline v0.30, Illumina), Velvet v0.7.16 (18), Mira v2.9.25 (11), Genomics Workbench (CLC Bio) v1.2, Seqman NGen (DNAStar) 1.1, NextGene (Soft Genetics) 1.0 and MAQ v 0.6.8 (12) (13). The input data sets were: human mtDNA, human whole-genome mRNA, E. coli DNA, Herpes simplex and bacteriophage PhiX. The assemblers were assessed for assembly speed and capacity in terms of the maximum number of reads that can be effectively assembled using relatively high-end computer hardware. Assemblies produced by the different programs were compared and a consensus determined based on read identity and divergence from the relevant reference sequence. **There were significant differences in the sequence capacities of the different reference alignment and *de novo* short read assembly tools, and the reference aligner outputs showed significant differences in reads matching against the reference sequence in particular locations.** For human data, Seqman NGen, Genomics Workbench and NextGen showed better performance in terms of the number of reads assembled however this may result in less accurate contigs. A combination of different assemblies may provide more reliable estimates of genetic aberrations by flagging dubious assembly regions that are not represented in a majority of the different assemblies. Conversely, regions that are matched identically by a majority of the different algorithms might be accorded greater confidence with regard to their predicted SNPs, indels and breakpoints. **We will attempt to prove or disprove these hypotheses with a view to designing an optimized assembly workflow in the next step of specific aim 1 by using artificial reads generated from human sequence samples selected from the SRA (Short Read Archive** [**http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/sra/sra.cgi**](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/sra/sra.cgi)**).** Samples from individuals with sequences from all three NextGen sequencing platforms will be selected based on coverage depth, the presence of family member samples and the availability of additional genomic analyses such as microarrays in order to validate anomalies and discriminate between differing sequence assembly results.

**Specific Aim 2: Implement variation annotation, expression analysis and network analysis workflows**

We propose developing a variation annotation pipeline with defined quality control/assurance algorithms for SNPs, large-scale structural variation and copy number variation. **The pipeline will be integrated with current expression analysis packages such as ERANGE (21) and will develop new expression analysis algorithms to improve quantization of transcript counts.** As part of the reporting and visualization of results, data filters will be designed based on user requirements to extract result subsets and provide genome-level views of the results integrated with external genomic features. Results will also be exportable to downstream analysis applications (Cytoscape, Genespring, R, etc.).

**We will use actual experimental data from multiple studies of different human diseases to validate our variation annotation pathway.** In the first stage of specific aim 2, we are developing a methodology for estimating erroneous SNP calls and predicting homozygote and heterozygote genotypes using Roche/454 reads derived from Nimblegen exome capture samples. The experiment subjects are an extended family of 8 individuals, including 4 with a heritable neurodegenerative disorder. We will validate our approach with a sample of 1,000 individuals on a smaller subset of captured genomic regions. **This analysis is being carried out in partnership with the MIHG (Miami Institute for Human Genomics).** **Further joint studies with the MIHG are anticipated involving analysis of SNPs, large-scale variation and copy number variation in several other diseases.**

**Specific Aim 3: Develop a NextGen workflow and visualization tool**Based on the requirements in Aims 1 and 2, we propose the implementation of a novel bioinformatics tool providing end-to-end integrated NextGen data analysis workflows and real-time genomic visualization of huge data sets. The tool will provide pre-optimized workflows for assembly/alignment, sequence and structural variation, expression analysis and network analysis and will also allow users to create their own customized workflows. **The software development process will implement a user-centric approach including extensive user testing at each project milestone and intervening iterations. Our emphasis on user testing and user-centric development, which differs from all previous bioinformatics workflow tools, is designed to ensure that the user interface is as intuitive as possible.**

The following list of requirements encompasses the functionality envisaged for the workflow and genome view tool, henceforth referred to as Aqwa (Automated Query and Workflow Agent).

**Functional Requirements**

1. Low barriers to usage
   1. Web access
   2. User-friendly, intuitive interface
   3. “Plug ‘n play” applications for rapid deployment
   4. Searchable project annotations
2. Workflows
   1. Predefined workflows (transcriptome, variation annotation, gene networks, file conversion utilities, ID conversion utilities, etc.)
   2. Customizable workflows
   3. Drag ‘n drop workflows
   4. Persistent data and workflow configurations
   5. Loops, conditional branching
3. Reports - customizable report extraction from workflow output
4. Views
   1. Customizable genomic views of report data
   2. Interactive display with rich context menu
   3. Integrated, extensible genomic features
   4. Multiple feature views – nucleotide level to aggregate high-level view
   5. Fast view update
   6. User can filter view based on data
   7. Genomic feature-level annotation by user
5. Sharing
   1. User-defined groups with customizable permissions
   2. Workflow, report and view sharing among groups
6. Input/Output and execution
   1. Import external biological data and genomic features into workflow
   2. Integration with external software (e.g., Cytoscape, R, GeneSet Analyzer)
   3. Programmatic remote access (API and Web Service)
   4. Cluster execution
   5. Grid execution
7. Maintain state (action history)
8. Data management – direct user access to input and output files

Information regarding data provenance (44) is retained in the system to identify the source of data throughout the workflow such as the owner, author application, creation and modification dates, and content type. In addition, a log is kept of all project changes and updates. The user can also annotate the project at all levels and search these annotations. Aqwa is similar to the laboratory notebook paradigm employed by the BCJ (Bioinformatics Computational Journal) workflow tools (45) but has a wider the range of functionality. **Aqwa’s functional requirements largely encompass those for a proposed ‘genome wiki’ (46) intended to facilitate cooperative genome annotation by a community of experts, reflecting Aqwa’s utility to the wider genomics community as an accurate, continually updated source of genome annotation.** Aqwa’s genome viewer functionality incorporates the AJAX-enabled JBrowse genome viewer (<http://jbrowse.org>) to provide a fast, fluid and responsive genome browser interface (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Aqwa’s Jbrowse-based allows drag ‘n drop feature selection and real-time zooming and panning.

From the user’s perspective, designing customizable workflows can be a daunting prospect due to the complexity of inputs for bioinformatics applications. Help information is displayed for each application (linked to iBIS?) and an automatic syntax checker ensures that application inputs are sufficient and correct. In addition, each application object contains methods that automatically derive its input arguments from the resources and outputs of preceding applications in the workflow. In the web interface, each application in a workflow is represented as a block with required input parameters and outputs (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Workflows are created by dragging applications from the left toolbar into the center pane. Users can choose to use the default automated argument settings or manually configure applications in the right pane.

For advanced users, Aqwa provides a file manager which allows direct access and drag ‘n drop manipulation of the file system of each workflow (Figure 5), rather than solely an abstract data interface such as in the BCJ. This is an optional function, which provides the benefits of fine control of workflows for power users without the burden of increased complexity for less advanced users.



Figure 5. Aqwa’s file manager allows drag ‘n drop manipulation of workflow file systems.

The various activities involved in Aqwa’s development are detailed in the following summarized software design document:

**Architectural design**

Guided by the software engineering strategy of *separation of concerns* for ease of development and maintenance, the system is essentially embodied by the Model-View-Controller design pattern, where the Model represents the data objects of the system (i.e., database tables on the server), the View is the display the user sees representing the Model and the Controller takes care of processing user-initiated events like mouse clicks and key presses to change the Model and the representation of the Model in the View. The Controller is composed of several MVC components that interact with a single Model to accomplish specific tasks such as authentication, project management and workflow. This component-based approach promotes ease of maintenance and reuse of architectural elements.

**User Interface (View)**

The central metaphor of the user interface is that of a project folder containing one or more workflows (customized or standard). Each workflow contains one or more applications and their input data. Each application has inputs, resources (which are similar to inputs but are not specified as command line arguments) and outputs (Figure 4). The user interface is a web application implemented in Javascript and based on the Dojo Javascript toolkit (<http://www.dojotoolkit.org>) which contains a rich assortment of web application components and utilities. Implementing the View as a web interface also allows for the eventual incorporation of three-dimensional graphical viewers directly inside the View for molecular dynamics simulation outputs. In addition, the user will be able to customize the View by specifying the default viewer for a particular data type. When the user elects to view a file with the specified data type, a copy of the file is downloaded to the client machine and the associated application is invoked with this input.

**Data design (Model)**The database tables residing on the server and their relationships make up the Model. These include tables for user authentication, project ownership and permissions, workflow content and execution status, and data provenance. Conversion of data into a unified data model in a standard format, such as in Pegasys (47), is not part of the functional requirements of the system, although the system will present different export and processing options for data based on its type. Individual applications are represented by JSON, similar to the resource definition XML files commonly used in systems such as GPIPE/PISE (48) and Pegasys (47). The data design is distinct from the API (Application Programming Interface), which is presented as a web service with relatively stable inputs and outputs.

**Low-level design (Controller)**The Controller, or so-called ‘business logic’ of the application, which interacts with the View and Model is composed of Javascript classes on the client and corresponding Perl modules on the server. The choice of Perl as the backend programming language was influenced by its large user community, abundance of bioinformatics tools such as BioPerl (http://www.bioperl.org), ease of accessibility for novice programmers and wide range of applications from text manipulation to system administration.

The system will use existing or novel syntactic structures and algebraic operators for describing bioinformatics workflows (Garcia et al., 2005) to achieve fully customizable workflows with forks, conditional statements and loops, and construct treelike workflows composed of multiple workflows linked together with logical commands. JSON (JavaScript Object Notation) is used as the data interchange format because, unlike XML, JSON-encoded objects need no additional parsing to define them at the object level, which allows them to be easily chained together in complex workflows. Following the evaluation of existing workflow control methods and their applicability to the Aqwa system, a core set of operators will be implemented in the release version of Aqwa.

The first two versions of Aqwa will support execution on the local server or on a cluster using the PBS (Portable Batch System) queue scheduler. An abstraction layer separates the workflows and the execution method for ease of extension in later versions to execution on a grid and eventually as web service requests. The latter two execution modes will require a more sophisticated pipe component and conditional operator due to the need to check for service availability before jobs can be dispatched for execution on a remote host. Failure management of jobs executed on a local server or cluster is accomplished by job monitoring scripts and wrappers to distinguish between error and normal exit modes. Failure management of jobs executed as web services or on a grid may require the development of additional tools.

**Hardware requirements** (Joel)

8-node (8-core each) cluster

HPC cluster time

Production server

Development server

**Timeline and Milestones:** Provide a timeline for the proposed research indicating points where intermediate objectives will be assessed and decisions will be made regarding the course and direction of the continuing research effort. Possible alternative paths that may be followed at critical junctures in the project plan should be described and indicated on the timeline.

Preliminary data are not required but may be included, if necessary to demonstrate the feasibility of the proposed studies. The presentation must be clear and particularly compelling. No detailed scientific plan should be provided, but timelines must be presented.

In addition to the formal software design document detailed above, the project uses an iterative/incremental development model, starting with a simple implementation of the basic functions (workflow, data management, reporting and genome view) and iteratively enhancing at each build with design modifications and new functional capabilities until the release version. Each iteration includes an examination of both the functional and quality requirements, the latter defined by user feedback and user testing (49) and interaction with other stakeholders.

Aqwa alpha version will shortly be available for testing, incorporating all of the planned functionality for the gamma release. In the next phase, extensive user testing will be carried out and the results of the tests will be used to inform any additions to the requirements.



**06-HG-101\* New computational and statistical methods for the analysis of large data sets from next-generation sequencing technologies. (High priority grant)s**

The introduction of new methods for DNA sequencing has opened new avenues, including large-scale sequencing studies, metagenomics, transcriptomics, genetic network analysis, and determination of the relationship of sequence variation and phenotypes to disease, to address heretofore unapproachable problems in biomedical research. However, since the large amounts (terabases) of data generated overwhelm existing computational resources and analytic methods, urgent action is needed to enable the translation of this rich new source of genomic information into medical benefit. Contact: Dr. Lisa Brooks, 301 496-7531, [brooksl@mail.nih.gov](mailto:brooksl@mail.nih.gov)

(*See end of document for Research Plan structure and format*)

**http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/rfa-files/RFA-OD-09-003.htmL**
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Organize the Research Plan in the specified order using the instructions provided below. Start each section with the appropriate section heading (i.e., Statement of the Challenge Area and the specific Challenge Topic, The Challenge and Potential Impact, The Approach, Timeline and Milestones.)

**Research Area:** State which broad Challenge Area (e.g., (01: Behavior, Behavioral Change, and Prevention) described within this FOA and specific Challenge Topic (e.g., *Mechanisms of Behavior Change Research*: *01-GM-104*) will be addressed. Also include the project title on the first page.

**The Challenge and Potential Impact:** What is the research opportunity, scientific knowledge gap or technology that will be addressed? How broad is the potential impact in science and/or health? Which community (ies) will be affected? What is (are) the size(s) of the community(ies)? Will the potential impact be major?

**The Approach:** How will you attempt to explore or solve the stated research problem? How will your rationale and/or approach overcome existing challenges or barriers in the field? If you propose to improve existing technologies or to develop new technologies, which needs are being addressed and what is unconventional and exceptionally innovative about your approach? Provide enough information for reviewers to determine what you are proposing to do, but do not include a detailed experimental plan.

**Timeline and Milestones:** Provide a timeline for the proposed research indicating points where intermediate objectives will be assessed and decisions will be made regarding the course and direction of the continuing research effort. Possible alternative paths that may be followed at critical junctures in the project plan should be described and indicated on the timeline.
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